

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum (CVNF) Meeting (27) Minutes



Present: Jan Furlong (JF), Laurie Furlong (LF), Helen Nuttall(HN), Ian Reynolds (IR), Cllr Diane Chapman (DC), Peter Ellis (PE),

Apologies: Jacqueline Rooney (JR), Chris Genn (CG), Kathy Genn (KG) , Cllr Conrad Hart-Brooke (CHB) , Cllr Stewart Golton (SG),

Meeting held on Monday 15th August 2022

		Action (who)
28.1	Welcome & Introduction given. Apologies noted above	
28.2	<u>Minutes of the previous meeting (18th July 2022)</u> Attendees approved the minutes of the last meeting	
28.3	<u>Matters & Actions from previous meetings</u>	
	27.6a. JR had shared out payments to the relevant parties in respect of expenses allied to the Jubilee event 27.6b Insurance quotes for phone box still under consideration 27.6e A submission related to the A61/A639 consultation was submitted before the closing date, though under the auspices of Rothwell N. Forum. PE had been nervous about submitting something from Carlton in the absence of any comments (some RNF members had made comments). The main thing to note was that a comprehensive set of observations had been put forward.	Noted
28.4	<u>Policy Intentions Document</u>	
28.4a	Identification of a theme for a pilot chapter in the Pre-submission document. As mentioned in the last meeting it had been decided to try to look at Heritage in the first instance and with that in mind PE circulated a document he had put together highlighting some of the aspects to be considered in the pre-submission document and the attendees worked through these items . JF had also put together some thoughts on local heritage which she described in the meeting. PE had based his ideas on the Holbeck document recognising that the O and W NP was perhaps slightly less clear, though did contain a wealth of information. The Clifford document previously circulated by JR was felt by PE to be rather too	All

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum (CVNF) Meeting (27) Minutes



	<p>colourful etc. which he felt rather detracted from and confused the flow of the document. However this was not a universally held view (On reflection PE recognises his statement that it was a slightly mesmerising “mishmash of colours and diagrams and policies” might be unfair). His view was that the Carlton NP needed to use colour to guide the reader through the document and should make use of photographs and diagrams but in a manner that promoted a logical flow that would not alienate or confuse the reader</p>	
28.4b	<p>Associated Information gathering</p> <p>The next question was what information would be needed to put together the section within the pre-sub document related to Heritage. In the document circulated by PE a number of aspects were referred to which in summary were:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A resume of local history 2. Information related to the single designated heritage asset in Carlton 3. Similar information related to other buildings identified as potential non-designated heritage assets (if nothing of substance could be written then this would be confirmation that the building or structure might not be suitable for inclusion) 4. It was noted that Holbeck had included “Character Areas” and had chosen to relate this to “Positive Design” in the Heritage section and we could do the same while also referring to it under “Design and Built Environment”. Inevitably some aspects will cross over between themes. Reference could be made to the Carlton Character Assessment that was put together by LCC and as in the Holbeck document a diagram showing the various zones could be configured 5. Heritage related projects e.g. History Trail , War Memorial, History Panels including Rhubarb heritage <p>The meeting was asked to consider these aspects in the context of the other NPs and whether what was proposed was sensible and to also consider any other possible projects.</p> <p>The Holbeck document had, in various locations, made use of statements indicating percentage local support for different aspects and at the display board events it</p>	All

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum (CVNF) Meeting (27) Minutes



	would be possible to collect that type of data. Indeed despite a relative lack of public interest at the “On the Green” display board event the previous weekend (13/8) a questionnaire had been introduced.	
28.5	<p><u>Public engagement initiatives</u></p> <p>As indicated in 28.4b the display board presentations “On the Green” were continuing, though uptake by local people was at the recent event somewhat disappointing and there was some discussion as to how matters might be improved. The gazebo and the boards etc. work very well and the use of questionnaires will help support the validity of views with the eventual document.</p> <p>PE stated that he would also aim to take the Carlton boards to the “ Hug in a Mug” events which occur on the first Saturday morning of each month in the Blackburn Hall, where some Carlton residents are present</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>PE</p>
28.6.	<u>Strawberry Fields</u>	
28.6a	<p>Construction Plan</p> <p>PE said that it was clear that construction was progressing in earnest and that there was still no evidence that a Construction Statement has been ratified by LCC or that there was any intent to introduce that plan to local residents and particularly those most affected by the works. This was supposed to be a requirement of the conditions indicated by the Planning Inspector in the Appeal Report. PE asked Cllr. Chapman if she would chase up the matter with the Planning Dept. PE said it was very important that the statement be understood, so that villagers knew what to expect and to bring things to attention if aspects of the statement were not adhered to. Prime among the items of concern were noise, dust and dirt, proposals for keeping roads as free as possible from mud etc., and transport routes to and from the site.</p>	DC
28.6b	<p>106 Agreement update</p> <p>In the absence of SG it was not possible to add anything to the comments of the previous meeting. It still remains the view of the meeting that the funds are at presently erroneously allocated for an initiative that is not really of benefit to Carlton and that is in any event not long term (i.e. an additional bus /hour between Leeds</p>	Noted

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum (CVNF) Meeting (27) Minutes



	and Wakefield via Carlton for a period of 5 years at a cost of £1m and that such an initiative cannot be considered fundamentally important as the number of buses on the route has in fact recently been reduced)	
28.7.	<u>Other current planning applications or matters of interest</u>	
28.7a	Phone Box library, Contract etc. There was some discussion relating to a post commenting on the state of the telephone box from a person from Rothwell that had renovated one. The gentleman had been referred by CHB to the Forum via the Crown	Noted
28.7b	FORCE - Rothwell Council Offices. Cllr. Chapman outlined the present position and that there was to be some consideration being given to FORCE to allow it to pursue avenues of funding and identification of assets that might offset capital receipts.	Noted
28.7c	Lingwell Gate Development PE indicated that he had not submitted a response to the planning application. There were already substantially in excess of 100 objections. He would still aim to submit a response as the application was still designated as “current”	PE
28.7d	A61/A639 Consultation PE confirmed that he had submitted a response in respect of the Consultation, though this was on behalf of Rothwell Forum. The reason for this was that there was a large amount of information to consider and that he had received some responses from RNF members and did not want to automatically assume that the comments would be acceptable to CVNF. It had proved just too complicated to try to convey all the details in what in the end was an exacting timescale. Apart from the commenting on the details of the proposals illustrated in a multitude of maps, PE had made particular reference to the programming of the works.	Noted
28.7e	LCC 5 Year Housing Strategy. This was not discussed in detail , but it was noted that the proposals seemed to be in conflict with what is included within the Core Strategy in respect of Social / Affordable housing. More analysis of the proposals is required.	PE

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum (CVNF) Meeting (27) Minutes



27.7	<u>AOB</u> KG had written to PE asking him to refer to problems being experienced with the Unicorn. During the periods of very hot weather the Unicorn were playing extremely loud music, so much so that she says it could be heard above the television in her lounge and that it kept her neighbours children awake . This is not the first time the Unicorn management have shown little sensitivity and it was agreed that the level of noise late into the evening was particularly anti-social and it was hoped the matter could be brought to attention in other Forums where more formal action might be taken.	Noted
2710	Date and Time of Next Meeting: Monday 19th September 2022 , 7.15pm , Carlton Cricket Club	