

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum

Meeting (32) Minutes

Present: Jan Furlong (JF), Laurie Furlong (LF), Helen Nuttall (HN), Ian Reynolds (IR), Kathy Genn (KG), Chris Genn (CG), Peter Ellis (PE), J Rooney (JR) - part, Philip Gidney, Cllr Diane Chapman

Apologies: Cllr S Golton (SG), Cllr Hart-Brooke(CHB)

Meeting held on Monday 20th March 2023

		Action (who)
32.1	Welcome & Introduction given. Apologies noted above	
32.2	<u>Minutes of the previous meeting (20th February 2023)</u> Attendees approved the minutes of the last meeting Just one error was noted i.e. Laurie did not attend the last meeting as indicated.	
32.3	<u>Matters & Actions from previous meetings</u> Most matters from the last meeting were said to be contained within the agenda for the current meeting, but the following was noted 31.3 Peter had invited Tomas Johnson to today's meeting , but he had been unable to attend	
32.4	<u>Policy Intentions Document</u>	
32.4a	Greenspace & Environment "Chapter" Peter indicated that the authoring of the Chapter continued to be "work in progress" and that other issues had conspired to not allow him to make the necessary headway	PE
32.4b	Greenspace Report Progress Peter had circulated the a draft greenspace report previously and had sent this as a draft to Tomas Johnson from the LCC Neighbourhood Planning Team together with a number of questions allied to the report (see 32.4c)	PE
32.4c	Tomas Johnson email Peter had circulated (16/3) the reply he received from Mr Johnson and this answered a number of questions both in terms of definition of the terms within the report and further clarity on the question of greenspaces to be included in the context of the Spaces already contained within the Outer South Site Allocation Plan (which includes Carlton , Rothwell and O and W. The key aspects of this related to the definition of "Local and Community Value". This is apparently up to the Forum and Community "to assess what value this has to your community". This was slightly stating the obvious and the question was only asked to understand the definition	ALL

	<p>in the context of the other criteria related to the sites such as “Recreational Value”, “Historical Value” and “Landscape Value”. Seemed like it is a sort of catch-all description. In any event Mr Johnson gave a number of examples used by other Forums.</p> <p>The other issue related to including greenspaces in the Neighbourhood Plan when those spaces already existed in the Leeds Site Allocations Plan. Mr Tomas said:</p> <p>Policy G6 of the Leeds Core Strategy (2019) states that: “Green space (including open space and pedestrian corridors in the City Centre) will be protected from development unless one of the following criteria is met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is an adequate supply of accessible green space/open space within the analysis area and the development site offers no potential for use as an alternative deficient open space type, as illustrated in the Leeds Open Space, Sport and Recreation Assessment, or, 2. The green space/open space is replaced by an area of at least equal size, accessibility and quality in the same locality; or 3. Where supported by evidence and in the delivery of wider planning benefits, redevelopment proposals demonstrate a clear relationship to improvements of existing green space quality in the same locality.” <p>Designating local green space is a tool to provide special protection against development for green areas of particular important to your community. Once designated, local green spaces will be subject to the same strong development restrictions as green belt, and new development is ruled out other than in very special circumstances.</p> <p>In the light of this it was agreed that we would include all of the Carlton SAP Greenspace sites within the greenspace section of the Neighbourhood Plan</p>	
32.5	<u>Public Engagement Initiatives</u>	
32.5a	<p>Smart Survey through yourvoice.leeds.gov.uk and further use of FB</p> <p>Peter had heard from Jan Cleverly with a link to a questionnaire for him to check. (It wasn’t said at the meeting but Peter hopes to circulate this for views)</p>	PE
32.5b	<p>Importance of bespoke questionnaires</p> <p>It was again reiterated how important the it was to try and configure question that would yield the most impact in terms of the Plan (having reviewed the Holbeck Community Consultation Statement)</p>	ALL
32.5c	<p><u>Further displays and venues</u></p> <p>Peter and Helen were to meeting in the coming week to discuss putting together the next set of display boards. (Community Facilities Infrastructure and Retail)</p>	HN/PE

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum Meeting (32) Minutes

32.6	<u>Finance</u>	KG
-------------	-----------------------	-----------

	Natwest account finally up and running with IR, KG and JR as the three signatories. Will have to transact regularly to keep it open – KG to organise	
32.7	<u>Strawberry Fields</u> Work progresses at a fair pace and they are sending a road sweeper up & down Main Street in a (futile) attempt to clean the mud up. They are also working before 8am. IR needs to contact the Avant site manager on a separate issue & may raise it with him although it should be the LCC Enforcement Officer who deals with such matters. Evidence of operating outside stipulated hours will be required if lodging a complaint.	IR / Noted
32.7a	Construction Statement: and planning conditions Avant Homes Lodge link on FB page since the developer has failed to communicate their plan as required by the Secretary of State's Inspector.	JR/JF
32.7b	106 agreement update – No feedback or recent update from Stewart on the £1m bus costs, unsure therefore if there is anything can be done to channel the monies to other initiatives.	SG
32.8	<u>Other Planning applications or matters of interest</u>	
32.8a	Local Plan consultation.	
32.8b	Battery Energy Storage Facility , Westfield Road Over 500 objections on the Planning Portal which means it will go in front of the Planning Committee/Panel to be heard. One note of caution is that if LCC refuse permission, the applicant has the right to appeal. Alec Shelbrooke has lodged an objection in which he incorrectly states the CVNF were consulted with by the developer. PE may correct him. PG advised the Say no campaign is looking at Crowd Funding in the event a legal appeal is called by the developer as happened with Strawberry Fields. KG has contacted the Campaign for Rural England body and awaits a response. There are other applications for similar developments in Bramham although none are sited as close to residential properties as Westfield Road. Offer made by the developer to hold a meeting with the Community and their PR Company. This offer has been politely declined, any meeting would need to be directly with the developer.	

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum Meeting (32) Minutes

32.8c	Telephone Box Library – no update to report.	Noted
32.8d	FORCE - Rothwell Council Offices – no update. The final decision is yet to be made and the Council are tied up in year end reconciliation.	Noted

32.8e	Litter Picking Another Carlton Community litterpick was deemed to be required. Could JF organise again? There is a separate group WF3 Wombles who post on FB as they do a great job collecting from East Ardsley to Ouzewell Green and surrounding areas.	JF
32.8f	Houses at Stainton Lane - PE came across an application on the LCC planning portal (no notices on streetlights for these?) for the redevelopment of an area at the bottom of Stainton Lane removing some dilapidated farm buildings and replacing with 2 detached properties. PE 's view is that a neutral comment be lodged .	PE
32.9	Any Other Business_ May day event in Rothwell – opportunity to have a presence with the Community Information boards? Volunteers needed to man the stand if go ahead.	ALL

Date of next meeting : 24th April 2023