

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum Meeting (39) Minutes



Present: Jan Furlong (JF), Laurie Furlong (LF), Kathy Genn (KG),
Chris Genn (CG), Phil Gidney (PG) Cllr S Golton (SG), Cllr Hart-Brooke (CHB)
Peter Ellis (PE),

Apologies: Ian Reynolds (IR), J Rooney (JR) , Cllr Diane Chapman (DC) Helen Nuttall (HN),

Meeting held on Monday 20th November 2023

		Action (who)
39.1	Welcome & Introduction givens. Apologies noted above	
39.2	<u>Minutes of the previous meeting (19th October 2023)</u> Attendees agreed the minutes of the last meeting were a true record of proceedings	
39.3	<u>Matters & Actions from previous meetings</u> 38.4 PE is in the process of making a funding application in respect of an appointment of a planning consultant and hopes to submit this shortly	PE
39.4	<u>Neighbourhood Plan</u> a. Consultant advice Since meeting Mr. Dando Peter had been in touch with him by email about the best methods to adopt to circulate a shortened version of the Policy Intentions Document (PID). At Carlton it was perhaps an easier initiative in terms of scale and possible to deliver the document to all households. It had also been suggested by Mr. Dando that Survey Monkey could be utilised to collect views as well as a hard copy solution. In any event this methodology had been adopted by Mr. Dando with other Forums e.g. Tadcaster and Pannal. Peter had circulated documents produced in respect of these localities and brought copies to the meeting	PE

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum Meeting (39) Minutes



	<p>b. Policy Intention Document Precis Peter had put together a reduced version of the PID which he circulated at the meeting. He intended to send this to Mr. Dando for comment.</p> <p>c. Consultant Appointment As reported at the last meeting, Peter met Mike Dando on 10th Oct, who has since submitted a fee bid and programme etc. Peter circulated this information to the committee the previous week. Mr. Dando's costs were £1120.</p> <p>d. Locality Application The Locality application was from experience not the easiest of submissions, but Peter hoped to get this completed and sent off as soon as he was able to allow the initial phase of work that had been highlighted could be completed and paid for before the 31st March. Any funds not expended by that date would need to be returned in accordance with the grant rules. Peter said in addition to Mr. Dando's fee, he would also make allowance for Survey Monkey, for printing costs and delivery, though it was possible that sum associated with the latter item might not be required.</p>	<p>PE</p> <p>PE</p> <p>PE</p>
39.5	<p>Public Engagement Initiatives:</p> <p>a. Questions on Facebook and Website Jan indicated, in Helen's absence, that the questions that Helen had been working on with Tomas Johnson were now available via links on Facebook.</p> <p>b. Further Displays and Venues etc. As reported at the last meeting Peter hoped to set up a display board relating to "Housing" and "Design and the Built Environment" in the Spring, when the survey related to the policy document is complete (by the end of March)</p> <p>c. Smart Survey through yourvoice.leeds.gov.uk Arriving at a point where this survey would be published on-line was still proving to be a bit of a challenge and Peter hoped he would be in contact with Jan Cleverly soon to try and resolve issues.</p>	<p>HN</p> <p>PE</p> <p>PE</p> <p>Noted</p>
39.6	<p><u>Finance</u> There were no matters to report, thought there had been a few issues with cards/ logins etc. allied to Nat West Bank.</p>	<p>KG</p>

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum Meeting (39) Minutes



39.7	<p><u>Strawberry Fields Development</u></p> <p>a. Matters related to site management Concerns revolved around levels and heights of housing in the context of homes local to the periphery of the site. Adjacent housing was much taller than anticipated or obviously depicted on drawings. Peter would try and look at some of the elevational and site section drawings. Mud on roads etc. had not been such an issue in recent weeks</p> <p><u>b.106 agreement update</u> Cllr. Golton updated the meeting in respect of this issue and referred to written questions to the Council as well as aspects allied to the 444 service and evening and weekend provision. (Note : apologies to Stewart but some of his comments were not fully captured and this will be brought to attention at the next meeting)</p>	<p>All</p> <p>Noted</p>
39.8	<p><u>Other current planning applications or matters of interest</u></p> <p>a. Battery Energy Storage System Application The application had now been lodged with the Planning Department (validated 7/11) and the last date for comments was the 8th December. Peter indicated that he would submit comments on behalf of the Forum. He hoped to modify the Forum's previous response to reflect the changes to the scheme that had now been introduced. It was agreed it was important to ensure that as many people responded as possible and it was being made clear by the "Say No" campaign that it was necessary for people to respond to the present application as previous comments made would carry no weight.</p> <p>The proposals seemed to still have the same deficiencies e.g. harsh industrial palisade fencing, incongruous metal boxes, significant intervention in the landscape etc. The same issues allied to special circumstances allied to use of the greenbelt and safety considerations were still extremely pertinent.</p> <p>b. Consultee Access It was agreed that the CVNF would apply for Consultee Access (as opposed to Public Access on the LCC Planning website. It was particularly important for key application like the Westfield</p>	<p>PE</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>PE</p>

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum Meeting (39) Minutes



	<p>Road BESS proposals that the Forum could be seen to be putting views forward on behalf of the village. Peter had circulated emails received from Helen Cerroti of LCC Planning Dept. It would mean that any comments put forward by CVNF in respect of any application would be visible to the Public and therefore even greater care would be required, bearing in mind that now other public submissions will not be visible. In responding to Ms. Cerroti , Peter has made it clear that notwithstanding the decision to become a consultee, CVNF still feels that public comments should be displayed as before in the interests of transparency and democracy.</p> <p>c. Rosebud Community Asset Confirmation had been received that LCC were in receipt of the Community Right to Bid Nomination for The Rosebud Inn.</p> <p>(c.) Rothwell Council Offices Following the actions of LCC in confirming the building would be auctioned, this would now take place during the first week of December. Cllr Chapman is working to relocate items of interest from the building, which includes items of furniture and paperwork that presently reside within the Council Chamber space.</p> <p>d Telephone box The glazing for the telephone box was discussed and Jan felt that, in the interests of avoiding the potential for vandalism, that perhaps only the door elevation could be glazed. It seemed that there might be some way of dealing with the matter financially (<i>Apologies, but the detail allied to this was not recorded, but can be confirmed at the next meeting.</i>)</p> <p>e Blue Plaque Jan reported she had made considerable progress in the renovation of the plaque.</p>	<p>LF</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>JR</p> <p>JF</p>
39.9	<p><u>AOB</u> <u>No other business was recorded</u></p>	
	<p><u>NEXT MEETING was to be agreed and will now take place on the 22nd January 2023 at 7.15pm, Carlton Cricket Club</u></p>	

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum Meeting (39) Minutes

