

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum Meeting (42) Minutes



Present: Jan Furlong (JF)Helen Nuttall (HN), Kathy Genn (KG),
Chris Genn (CG), Cllr Hart-Brooke(CHB), Ian Reynolds (IR), Phil Gidney(PG),
Peter Ellis (PE),

Apologies: J Rooney (JR), Laurie Furlong (LF), Cllr Diane Chapman (DC)
Cllr S Golton (SG)

Meeting held on Monday 18th March 2024

		Action (who)
42.1	Welcome & Introduction given. Apologies noted above	
42.2	<u>Minutes of the previous meeting (20th February 2024)</u> Attendees approved the minutes of the last meeting.	
42.3	<u>Matters & Actions from previous meeting</u> 41.8c Castle Gate PE did attend the Public display/engagement session at St Peter's Church, Stanley	Noted
42.4	<u>Neighbourhood Plan</u> New Locality Application, Fund-holder and outstanding End of Grant Report PE explained that the intention was now to submit an application for planning consultant to progress the entire works allied to the production of the Neighbourhood Plan including the distribution of the Policy Intention document to the Village(that had been the subject of hoped for initial phase of the commission). DC had identified another organisation as opposed to CROWN (who do not comply with Groundwork rules) to hold funds on behalf of the Forum. The question of the End of Grant Report from an earlier grant was still an issue, though some progress had been made and at least one of the original invoices had been obtained. It was hoped that Mark Hughes and Steve Carmody would be able to track down the missing invoices to allow the End of Grant Report to be completed b. Consultant Appointment As previously reported PE had been in contact with Mike Dando (Planning Consultant) and it was hoped that a new Fee bid could be made available for use with the aforementioned new application. The appointment, if the application was successful, would run until the end of	PE,

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum Meeting (42) Minutes



	<p>the financial year, i.e. April 2025. PE hoped that he would be able to meet Mike Dando to discuss matters prior to the next meeting</p> <p>c. Consultation Statement and other interim actions</p> <p>There was a need to start to collate information to put together a consultation statement. The outcomes of the village wide questionnaire, comments from display/engagement sessions and the questionnaire that HN had been dealing with would hopefully provide enough evidence of engagement and there was also the possibility of more information from the Leeds Your-voice initiative. In the meantime more work could perhaps be progressed on collating and confirming details allied to greenspace and non-designated heritage assets. PE felt there was a need to extract more views from local people and the question of incentives was referred to.</p>	
42.5	<p>Public Engagement Initiatives:</p> <p>a. Questions on Facebook and Website</p> <p>Further rounds of questions had been progressed though the response had been rather limited. Tomas Johnson (LCC) had contacted HN on the matter. Here was some discussion allied to the costs associated with WordPress for hosting the new Forum website (costs in the order of £86/ 3yrs)</p> <p>b.Further Displays and Venues etc.</p> <p>PE again indicated that he hoped to put together a housing display for early events in 2024 e.g. May Day. .</p> <p>c.Smart survey</p> <p>PE reported that he had done all that he could in relation to this initiative and that hopefully the questionnaire would go live shortly(questions relate only to greenspace and heritage theme).</p>	<p>HN</p> <p>PE</p> <p>Noted</p>
42.6	<p>Finance</p> <p>KG again brought to attention the need to attract additional funds and methods to achieve this were again discussed.</p>	<p>KG</p> <p>JF</p>
42.7	<p>Strawberry Fields Development</p> <p>a. Further matters related to site management etc.</p> <p>No other matters over and above previously identified issues were discussed</p> <p>b.106 agreement</p> <p>Nothing further to report in respect of this matter as SG was not able to attend the meeting today.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>SG</p>
42.8	<p>Other current planning applications or matters of interest</p> <p>a. Call for sites published plan</p> <p>PE had circulated a document produced by LCC that indicated all the sites that had been put forward in response to the “call for sites”. Most sites were centred around Cemetery Lane, but there was also a site shown at the northerly end of Westfield Road. This was said just to</p>	<p>Noted</p>

Carlton Village Neighbourhood Forum Meeting (42) Minutes



	<p>be the first step in the process to define a new Site Allocation Plan that would run from 2028 to 2040. At some point there would be a consultation in relation to a reduced schedule of site once LCC have analysed all the sites put forward. Councillors have asked for clarity on the process and improved collation of information</p> <p>b.BESS Battery Energy Storage Facility Forum Submission</p> <p>The date for comments had been extended in respect to the latest application</p> <p>c.Foxwood Lodge</p> <p>PE said he hoped to write to the CQC to better understand the process allied to registration and the basis of acceptance of a facility that provides services that were not entirely consistent with the change of use planning application.</p> <p>d.Castle Gate</p> <p>PE attended the engagement session in respect of the proposals for Castle Gate. He discussed the proposals with planning and transport consultants at the event and reiterated comments made in respect to public survey instigated by Egniol on behalf of Marshall Construction (e.g. compliance with NPPF and concerns allied to transport and impact on Carlton).The key aspect discovered was that the design proposals were entirely indicative and that the planning application would be outline only. The arrangement and number of buildings would very possibly be entirely different from the drawings shown in the consultation information</p> <p>e.Phone Box Library</p> <p>This matter was not discussed in detail in the light of JR not being able to attend the meeting. Funding that JF had hoped could be applied was now not available.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>PE</p> <p>PE</p> <p>JR/JF</p>
42.9	<p>AOB</p> <p>The issue of large vehicles using Carlton as a short cut was discussed. There had been a very obvious increase in this practice with many of these originating from Green Field Produce. It was thought there was a real need to curb such huge vehicles from coming through the village and that weight restrictions should be imposed. It was agreed to try to better record the situation in order that there was evidence available as to extent of the issue.</p>	Noted
42.10	<p>NEXT MEETING: Monday 15th April 2024 at 7.15pm, Carlton Cricket Club (Post Meeting Note : the venue might be subject to change</p>	